3/28/70

Mr. E.J. Albright 313 West North Ave., Chicago, Ili. 60610

Dear hr. Albbight,

Thenks for your letter of the 21st, postmerkked the 24th, which arrived today. I appreciate the effort it reflects.

The sentence that interests memost is this one:

"Skolnick states that he did not use any of your material and that he received the material from another source". This is that inhi of language I nevel become accustomed to in the hours I have spent on the fictions of the Warren Commission and the lies of the FEI.

Skolnick is, to his own knowledge, a lier on both counts. Where he got the material is unrelated to whose material it is. I told you when you phoned it had to have come with a friend who helped me. That does not make it any less mine and it is incorporated in a copyrighted book -mine.

The fact is I have been working on the Vallee thing since the winter of 1986-7 when, in January 1987, as I now recall, I got a tip while in Chicago. It took much effort to get it to the roint at which for no constructive purpose, Skolnick stole it. It was not alone my effort and that if this friend but also the effort of others. And it involves some cost. If one were to consider the time as a cost, then the cost was considerable.

Then I saked this friend, then living in Chicago, to help, he did, end be got for me Velle's criminal record. Although I would not insist this is my meterial, the fact is this friend knew nothing about any of this until I esked him to do this work for me and he did do this work expressly for the writing in which it is incorporated. Mone of the rest originated with anyone in Chicago.

The fact is Skolnick am he had a phone conversation several weeks ago, as I told you. I do not know what transpired, but I essume Skolnick was told had have to have my ascent, for this friend, who could ill afford it, phone to tell me he had spoken to Skolnick am Skolnick would phone me that night. I stayed up several night and I have never heard from him. He did not tell me Skolnich was going to use any of my stuff and I did not expect it. The fact is, I have had fairly extensive correspondence with the same friend apposing out-of-context, minor use of such material is in the underground press or in any minor, unpaid way.

This friend is one of several I asked to try and speak to "rs. Bolden for me when she did not respond to my latters. I have no way of knowing, but I presume his knowledge of the Balden matter is from my work, of which he has a copy, with my permission, aside from what I toli him so he could undertake this. Ed DeMar will tell you when he spoke to me my initial feeling was that Bolden should be left alone. The last thing that could under any circumstance serve any kind of legitimate purpose, any constructive and, was publicizing him now. These the entire thing was a fabrication, he has to be adraid, especially after such cheap, self-serving, sensationalized use. You may, if you so desire, tell Skolnick for me that it was had enough to be a crock, worse to be a liar on top of it, and if the likes of him are to cheanse the courts, God help Chicago. Sincerely, Barold Teisberg